Jury members Sylvia Ekström (supervisor) Anne Verhamme Eoin Farrell



### Winds of Massive Stars

A numerical investigation of the effects of mass loss on the evolution of massive stars

M.Sc. Defense

Joris Josiek



### Why study massive stars?

- Rare in the present universe
- High luminosity
- Production of heavy elements
- Strong feedback (e.g. Winds)

A massive star ejects

45–65%
of its own mass during its life.



WR 124 imaged by the Hubble Space Telescope Credit: NASA/ESA

### Outline

#### Results

What do the models predict? What can we learn from the results?

#### Context

How do massive stars evolve? What do we want to investigate?

#### Discussion

What is the impact, what are the limitations? What further work could be done?

#### Methods

2

How do we model stellar evolution? What are the key physical ingredients?

# Context The Evolution of Massive Stars

### **Collapsing Cloud of Gas**

Increasing density, temperature, pressure

O/B

### **Core Helium Burning** -

Helium fuses to carbon in the core Hydrogen fuses to helium in a shell **0.2–1 Million Years** 

> Carbon burning Neon burning Oxygen burning Silicon burning

2 000 years 6 months 1 year 2 weeks

### Core contracts after running out of fuel

Long period of stable fusion of hydrogen to helium in the core

core temperature increases envelope inflates

2–10 Million Years

Main Sequence



**Ignition of Nuclear Fusion in the Core** at around 10<sup>6</sup> K

RSG

### Collapsing Cloud of Gas

Increasing density, temperature, pressure

### **Ignition of Nuclear Fusion in the Core** at around 10<sup>6</sup> K

### **Main Sequence**

Long period of stable fusion of hydrogen to helium in the core **2–10 Million Years** 

#### Core contracts after running out of fuel

core temperature increases envelope inflates

### **Core Helium Burning**

Helium fuses to carbon in the core Hydrogen fuses to helium in a shell **0.2–1 Million Years**  Carbon burning Neon burning Oxygen burning Silicon burning 2 000 years 6 months 1 year 2 weeks

7



H envelope / H ejecta -

He shell / He ejecta

C+O core -

Ne+Mg rich center

Stellar structure at the end of central carbon burning

Effects of mass loss: (1) Surface temperature increases, (2) Surface metal-abundance increases.

### Method Modeling stellar evolution





Mass loss

Thermodynamics

Radiation

### Stellar structure and evolution model

Gravity

Diffusion

Nuclear reactions

Need to describe the stellar structure and to describe all the relevant physics in an applicable way!

11

### The Geneva stellar evolution code (GENEC)

### 1) Solving the stellar structure

A star is divided into around **1000 layers**.

Each layer has local properties, e.g. temperature, chemical composition, etc.

Physical equations determine how properties change from layer to layer.

The algorithm finds a stable solution to the equations.

# The Geneva stellar evolution code (GENEC)1) Solving the stellar structure (1 dimension)2) Making the structure evolve

The user specifies the initial **global properties**, e.g. mass, chemical composition, rotation rate.

The algorithm computes the stable stellar structure.

Changes are applied for a small timestep,

- Chemical structure changes (e.g. nuclear reactions).
- Mass decreases due to stellar winds.



### The Geneva stellar evolution code (GENEC)

### 2) Making the structure evolve

The user specifies the initial **global properties**, e.g. mass, chemical composition, rotation rate.

The algorithm computes the stable stellar structure.

Changes are applied for a small timestep,

- Chemical structure changes (e.g. nuclear reactions).
- Mass decreases due to stellar winds.



### Mass loss in stellar models

• Too complex to implement from first principles  $\rightarrow$  Use **prescriptions**.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M}{\mathrm{d}t} = f(L, T_{\mathrm{eff}}, M, X_{\mathrm{surf}}, ...)$$

f ... function derived theoretically, numerically, or empirically

Mass loss physics depends on evolutionary stage → Multiple prescriptions

- Prescriptions have two components:
  - Mass loss rate equation (as above)
  - Validity domain

### Mass loss in stellar models

 Project goal: compute stellar evolution models with different mass loss prescriptions and compare the results.

| Vin01 | Vink et al. 2001      | Fit on            |  |  |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Bjo22 | Björklund et al. 2022 | wind models       |  |  |
| Bes20 | Bestenlehner 2020     | Theoretical model |  |  |

| Ì |       |                    |               |
|---|-------|--------------------|---------------|
|   | Cro01 | Crowther 2001      |               |
|   |       |                    | Observational |
| - |       |                    | fit           |
|   | Bea20 | Beasor et al. 2020 |               |
|   |       |                    |               |

#### Mass loss of red supergiants (RSG)

Mass loss of hot stars (O/B type)

### Grid parameters

Initial masses:

20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60, 66, 73, 80, 85, 95, 105, 120  ${
m M}_{\odot}$ 

Rotation:

Metallicity:

**Solar** (Z=0.014)

O/B mass loss:

Vin01, Bjo22, Bes20

RSG mass loss:

Cro01, Bea20

# Results What do the models say?

### **Characterizing the mass loss prescriptions**



**Bes20** is approx. **10x lower** than **Vin01** across the entire mass range.

**Bjo22** is only valid for the lower mass range.

Time-averaged mass loss rate during the main sequence.

### **Characterizing the mass loss prescriptions**



**Observations** of RSGs at such high masses are extremely rare.

Time-averaged mass loss rate during the RSG phase.

### Main sequence evolution



Two mass loss regimes.

Mass at the end of the main sequence (TAMS)

### Main sequence evolution



Mass-luminosity relation at the end of the main sequence

### Main sequence evolution



Main sequence evolution in the Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram (HRD)

### After the Main Sequence

He

Main sequence mass loss

Low

High

End of the MS H shell ignition Convective mixing

(Joris, take a drink!)

Large

H-rich shell

Small

H-poor shell

### Surface hydrogen depletion

Schematic for the evolution of surface hydrogen (arbitrary units)



Typical track of the hydrogen depletion curve

### Surface hydrogen depletion



Hydrogen depletion curve for the 60 solar mass models

### **Wolf-Rayet stars**

Spectral type with strong and broad emission lines; signatures of optically thick wind **Spectroscopic Definition** 



Hot: T<sub>eff</sub> > 10 000 K Hydrogen-poor: X<sub>s</sub> < 0.3 **Theoretical Definition** 



| Nitrogen lines (hotter) | WNL | X <sub>s</sub> > 10 <sup>-5</sup> | N < C | Τ <sub>eff</sub> < 10 <sup>5.25</sup> Κ |
|-------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|-------|---|
| Nitrogen lines (cooler) | WNE | X <sub>s</sub> < 10 <sup>-5</sup> |       |   |
| Carbon lines            | wc  |                                   | C > N |   |
| Oxygen lines            | WO  |                                   |       | T <sub>eff</sub> > 10 <sup>5.25</sup> K |

### **Formation of Wolf-Rayet stars**



\*  $\leq 40M_{\odot}$ : Only models with strong RSG winds become WR

Properties at the onset of the WR phase

### **Formation of Wolf-Rayet stars**

Late-formed



 $* \leq 40M_{\odot}$ : Only models with strong RSG winds become WR

Properties at the onset of the WR phase

### **Evolution of Wolf-Rayet stars**



60 solar mass evolution in the HRD

### **Evolutionary paths for post-MS massive stars**

### Low Mass Loss Regime

RSG/YSG  $\rightarrow$  Surface temp. incr. with mass loss  $\rightarrow$  BSG  $\rightarrow$  H-rich shell removed  $\rightarrow$  H-depleted WR star

### **High MS Mass Loss Regime**

Short YSG  $\rightarrow$  Surface temp. incr. with mass loss  $\rightarrow$  H-poor shell exposed  $\rightarrow$  H-poor WR star

During the WR phase: stripping of layers, very hot surface (> 100 000 K)

### **Evolutionary endpoint**









### **Stellar Populations**



### Discussion What have we learned?

# 1 There are two distinct regimes for main-sequence mass loss.

(According to two of the mass loss prescriptions)

# 2 Main sequence mass loss impacts the stellar structure deeply.

Structure of **convective zones** (hydrogen shell, MS core)

**3** There are two formation channels for Wolf-Rayet\* stars. Late-formed:  $O/B \rightarrow RSG/YSG \rightarrow BSG \rightarrow WNE (\rightarrow WC/WO)$ 

Early-formed:  $O/B \rightarrow WNL \rightarrow WNE \rightarrow WC/WO$ 

\* For theoretical Wolf-Rayet stars!

### **Impact and limitations**

- Can predict complete evolution just from MS mass loss.
- Insights into evolution of massive stars in the single-star, non-rotating picture.
- Investigated mass loss rates cover a wide range.
- No judgement of mass loss prescriptions possible (lack of observable criteria)
- No evaluation of the effects of **metallicity**, **binarity** and **rotation**.

# 1 There are two distinct regimes for main-sequence mass loss.

(According to two of the mass loss prescriptions)

# 2 Main sequence mass loss impacts the stellar structure deeply.

Structure of **convective zones** (hydrogen shell, MS core)

**3** There are two formation channels for Wolf-Rayet\* stars. Late-formed:  $O/B \rightarrow RSG/YSG \rightarrow BSG \rightarrow WNE (\rightarrow WC/WO)$ 

Early-formed:  $O/B \rightarrow WNL \rightarrow WNE \rightarrow WC/WO$ 

\* For theoretical Wolf-Rayet stars!